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## Outline (Project Planning)

- Milestones
- The dangers of adding more people
- The Mythical Man-Month
- Velocity
- Burn down charts
- Big Board


## Project Planning I

- what to do if your estimate is too big?
- In the example in the book, the answer was clear
- Our estimate: 489 days (~1.85 years of development time!!)
- Customer's Ideal Deadline?
- 90 days


## Project Planning II

- What to do?
- Scope the problem
- Focus on most critical functionality and see if customer is willing to focus on that subset
- In general, "scope the problems" means drop features until the remaining features can be completed by the original due date
- Who does the scoping?
- The customer


## Milestone 1.0

- In particular, we are attempting to define what features will go into "milestone 1.0"
- Milestone 1.0 == first major release to the customer
- In iterations, you show software for feedback but do not generally deploy the software for production use
- With milestones, you are delivering software that will go into production use


## Milestone 1.0 Do's and Don'ts

- Do balance functionality with customer impatience
- Help customer understand what can be done before the deadline
- Help them understand that features are being delayed not dropped
- Don't get caught planning nice-to-haves
- You need to focus on what's needed: mission critical fun.
- Don't worry about length (yet)
- You're trying to understand your customer's priorities


## Soundness Check

- Once you have identified the features that need to go into Milestone 1.0, recheck your estimate
- In the book, since you have less features, the new estimate comes to 273 days (3/4 of a year)
- You still have 90 days to complete the work
- And we are assuming a team size of 1 person
- In this situation, we would be forced to reprioritize with the customer and cut functionality to the bone
- OR...


## Add More People I

- ... we could add more people!
- Lets increase the team size to 3 people
- 273 / 3 = 91 days of work and we have 90 days left
- That should do the trick assuming a few sleepless nights as the deadline approaches, right?
- WRONG!
- First, we have 90 calendar days, not 90 work days!
- Recall that we get roughly 20 works days per month
- So a team of 3 can accomplish roughly 180 days worth of work over 90 calendar days ASSUMING ALL GOES WELL


## Add More People II

- Second, you can't assume that the customer won't change things on you as you move forward
- Third, you can't assume that the two new developers will be up to speed on the project and ready to put full productive work days into the project on day one
- With three people, we now have
- two people to train and get ready to work on the project
- three communication paths to manage (previously zero)


## Mythical Man-Month (I)

- Essays on Software Engineering is a book on software engineering and project management by Fred Brooks, whose central theme is that "adding manpower to a late software project makes it later". It looks at the unit of the man-month
- sometimes used by management to schedule large projects


## But First: The Tar Pit

- Developing large systems is "sticky"
- Projects emerge from the tar pit with running systems
- But most missed goals, schedules, and budgets
- "No one thing seems to cause the difficulty. But the accumulation of simultaneous and interacting factors brings slower and slower motion."
- The analogy is meant to convey that
- It is hard to detect the nature of the problem(s) facing software development
- Brooks begins by examining the basis of software development
- e.g. system programming
- Sheer joy of creation
- Pleasure of creating something useful to other people
- Creating (and solving) puzzles
- Life-Long Learning



## What's not so fun about programming?

- You have to be perfect!
- You are rarely in complete control of the project
- Design is fun; debugging is just work
- Testing takes too long!
- The program may be obsolete when finished!



## Why are software project's late?

- Estimating techniques are poorly developed
- Our techniques confuse effort with progress
- The Mythical Man-Month
- Since we are uncertain of our estimates, we don't stick to them!
- Progress is poorly monitored!
- When slippage is recognized, we add people
- "Like adding gasoline to a fire!"
- Or may be Optimism



## Mythical Man-Month (II)

- The unit of the person-month implies that workers and months are interchangeable.
- However, this is only true when a task can be partitioned among many workers with no communication among them!
- Brooks points out that cost does indeed vary as the product of the number of workers and the number of months. Progress does not!
- When a task is sequential, more effort has no effect on the schedule
- And, unfortunately, many tasks in software engineering have sequential constraints. Especially debugging and system testing


## Mythical Man-Month (III)

- In addition, most tasks require communication among workers
- In a software dev. project, communication consists of
- training, and
- sharing information (intercommunication)
- training will effect effort at worst linearly
- (i.e. if you have to train $N$ people individually, it will take $N^{*}$ trainingTime minutes to train them)
- intercommunication adds $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}-1) / 2$ to the effort
- if each worker has to communicate with every other worker


## Mythical Man-Month (IV)



## Mythical Man-Month (V)



## Some Benefits

"Adding more people then lengthens, not shortens, the schedule!"
-- (A paraphrase of) Brooks' Law

no communication


Workers

## Back to the Example

- With 3 developers, we start by assuming that they can produce 180 days of development effort
- You then negotiate with the customer until the estimate of all the features in milestone 1.0 is less than 180 days
- You then create an iteration plan and get to work
- Keep your iterations short (30 calendar days, 20 work days)
- It helps you deal with change and keep you focused
- Keep your iterations balanced (new features, fixing bugs, etc.)


## And, now reality sets in

- We can't necessarily assume 180 days of work from three developers over three calendar months
- During the day there are constant interruptions that prevent developers from remaining "in the flow"
- rather than 8 productive hours in a work day, you find that you only achieve 5 hours (or less)
- To account for this, agile methods make use of a concept called "team velocity" or "velocity"
- Velocity is a percentage: given X number of days, how much of that time is productive? $\mathbf{A}$ default value is $\mathbf{0 . 7}$


## Realistic Estimate

Project Estimate

## realistic project estimate

- 30 calendar days, 20 work days == 14 days of productive time !!!!


## Example, cont.

- Now, that we know about velocity, we can use it to estimate how many days of productive work we can achieve during each iteration number of developers $x$ working days in iteration $x$ velocity

$$
3 \times 20 \times 0.7=42
$$

- Since we have three iterations:

$$
3 \times 42=126
$$

## Example, cont.

- Went from: 3 people could do 270 days of work in 90 days
- To: 3 people could do 180 days of work in 90 days
- To (finally): 3 people could do 126 days of work in 90 days
- Assuming an overhead of 0.7
- Question: what should we do with our velocity if we add MORE people to the project?
- How would you change velocity if we shifted to 4 people?
- or to 10 people?


## Your time !!

## - Exercise 4 : Be the customer



## Your time !!

# - Exercise 5 : Add them into 

iterations (no velocity)


## Your time !!

# - Exercise 6 : Add them into 

## iterations (consider velocity)



## Managing Customers - I

- The customer will probably definitely not like the change from 273 days of work possible to 126
- Since it means a big reduction in what can be accomplished



## Managing Customers - II

- The customer will probably definitely not like the change from 273 days of work possible to 126
- Since it means a big reduction in what can be accomplished
- What to do?
- Add an iteration (if they will let you)
- Explain that overflow work is not lost, just postponed
- Be transparent about how you came up with your figures
- You now have an estimate that you can be confident in


## Your time !!

# - Exercise 7 : Who does what? 



## User Stories and Tasks

- Each user story needs to be split into tasks
- Each task then needs an estimate associated with it
- The entire team should participate in breaking a user story into tasks; planning poker should be used to assign estimates
- Example User Story: Create a Date in the System ( Estimate: 11 days)
- Tasks
- Create a date class that contains events: 3 days
- Create user interface to create, view and edit a date: 5 days
- Create the schema for storing dates in a database: 3 days
- Create SQL scripts for adding/finding/updating dates: 2 days
- Total Task Time: 13 days! (Recommend, do estimation on tasks)


## Burn Down Chart - I

- Fortunately, the burn-down chart gives us a specific action item whenever an estimate changes or work gets done
- Update the burn-down chart
- In the case of an estimate changing, calculate its impact on the work remaining and plot your status
- In the book, the original estimate for the iteration was 43 days of productive work; a 2 day increase in the first story pushes the amount of work left to 45 days
- and they spent a day working on task decomposition
- The following chart contains this info. plus more


## Burn Down Chart - II



Burn Down Chart - III


## The Big Board

- Once you have a realistic estimate and an iteration plan based on that estimate, you are ready to get started
- You will track your progress with a software development dashboard
- A large whiteboard that is partitioned to help your team focus on what is happening during the current iteration
- It is updated at least once per day during the stand up meeting
- But could be useful to update it more often than that
- It is a one-stop shop for getting a "big picture" view of the current iteration







|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% | User Stories | In Progress Complete | Burn Down |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\square$ | Next |
|  | 0 |  |  |
|  |  |  | Completed |
|  |  | Be sure to update the Burn Down chart as you make (or don't make) progress |  |







## Your time !!

# - Exercise 8 : Correct your big 

## board



## Standup Meeting

- A daily meeting used to
- keep the team motivated and aware of progress (or not)
- keep your board up-to-date
- highlight problems early
- It should
- Track progress, update burn-down rate, update tasks,

- discuss what happened yesterday and plan today's activities,
- bring up issues, and last between 5 and 15 minutes
- "Its so short, no one has time to sit down"


## Key Points

- Factors that weigh into making an initial project estimate
- Number of team members
- Team Velocity
- Mythical Man-Month
- Burn down charts
- The Big board
- Stand up meeting

